

APPENDIX C - Future of Oakover Road slips lane – Consultation Outcome

Summary of Email Submissions for the Consultation period 24 June – 9 July 2017

Date	Submitter	Comments // Summary of Submission	Does the submitter support the permanent closure of the slip lane?
21/06/2017	K Dundas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The central median works have resulted in a noticeable reduction in vehicle speeds this project would add to the overall improvement to the precinct Supports additional trees and the choice of material 'Are there plans to develop additional public open space in the junction area?' 	Yes
21/06/2017	J Copsey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using native trees such as dwarf gum trees or Eucalyptus caesia instead of deciduous trees for the site 	Yes
23/06/17	C Erlandsen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project will soften the precinct Has concerns with the stone edged steps, believes this will attract skate boarders Use metal strips or pins embedded into the stone to deter skate boarders 	Yes
21/06/17	P Papas	Add understorey plantings and native trees such as eucalypt trees like yellow gums instead of deciduous trees	Yes
01/07/17	A Wood	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in traffic congestion from the closure of the slip lane Pop up Park was not used Trees were not maintained and died Should not be a meeting place for the Preston Junction community Rate payers money should be used else where 	No
24/06/17	B Li	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the idea of more trees planted Does not support the bike repair station Use of tram tracks in surface may be a tripping hazard 	Yes

26/07/17	D Weir	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the proposed tree plantings • Believes that oak trees will provide shade and beauty to the site 	Yes
29/06/17	K Stavrakis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re open the slip lane • Pop up Park did not work • Rate payers money should be used else where • The closure of the slip lane has made it difficult to turn into Oakover Road and increased traffic congestion 	No
03/07/17	N Passaportis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the proposed tree plantings • Believes the project will have a calming effect on traffic as well as become a safer crossing for pedestrians and motorists 	Yes
1/7/17	Darebin Rate Payer Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen the slip lane • It is unsafe to have an urban park in this location • Rate payers money should be used else where • Agrees with more trees are needed • Suggests a pedestrian crossing with a marked cycle way with lights for cyclists is needed for the intersection • More seats and bins within the precinct • Suggests repainting the current markings on the slip lane and the addition of a sign suggesting that large trucks are to be prohibited from using the slip lane • Has concerns of multiple constructions occurring at the same time and the further impact this will have on safety. 	No
02/07/17	P Cargill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the consultation process • Slip lane was dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists prior to its closure • Supports infrastructure that will deal with the upcoming building apartments and new businesses • Supported the Pop Up Park • Changing the terminology used to describe the project to assist people understanding its intended use 	Yes
22/07/17	D Mitsis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reopen the slip lane • Has concerns of the major traffic congestion caused by the median strips on High Street which can bank up to Bell Street 	No

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is concerned with the tree choice along High Street, believes this will interfere with traffic Leslie Park (in Reservoir) should be upgraded Would like council to consider upgrading parks in Reservoir 	
04/07/17	J.D. Blake	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Re open the slip lane Believes it is more dangerous having the slip lane closed for cyclists, vehicles Pop up Park did not work Trees were not maintained and died There is traffic congestion problems on to Oakover Road, believes this will be a greater issue if the slip lane is permanently removed Believes that The Junction Urban Master Plan(JUMP) needs to be considered carefully given the complex intersection of the slip lane 	No
8/7/17	M & J De Bono	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Re open the slip lane Pop up Park did not work, believes it was too dangerous Believes that the original slip lane was placed to assist with traffic flow The current yellow and black poles restrict visibility 'Has there been an accident while the slip lane was open?' Has concerns of the safety of the area due to the growing urban population and the rising amount of apartments in the area. Believes that there are other parks and open spaces that are much safer 	No
29/06/17	P Sheridan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pop Up Park did not work Suggests a permanent space is created that the left turn (north travelling) lane is to be more driver friendly. 	Conditional Support
12/07/17	L Molina	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic conditions at intersection is unsafe Not appropriate place for open space. 	No
15/07/17	J Hines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic conditions at intersection is unsafe The traffic calming works have increased congestion There is traffic overflow onto Warrs Ave, for those commuters wishing to avoid the Oakover/High Street intersection. This rat-run traffic is not obeying speed restrictions 	N/a

